



FCPF Technical Advisory Panel

Vietnam Draft R-PP: Comments by the TAP

March 23-24, 2010
FCPF Participants Committee
Washington DC

For TAP review team Vietnam: Jayant Sathaye

Overall Summary of the Second Review: 1

Strengths of the R-PP Document:

- R-PP is **very well composed and addresses all the key issues** requested by FCPF for each of the six components
- In formulating the R-PP, Vietnam conducted **largely top-down consultation processes** that included other ministries with legal responsibilities for drivers of deforestation and degradation
- It **provides in-depth information** about drivers of both deforestation and degradation
 - Conversion to agriculture (industrial crops); unsustainable (illegal) logging; infrastructure development (dams); and forest fires
- **Good coverage of strategy options and a 10-step REDD implementation framework**
 - Specific emphasis on **revenue collection, management, retention, payment structuring etc.**
- **Monitoring and evaluation framework** lays out a good description of the proposed activities, **the use of the logical framework matrix**, and how the monitoring and evaluation will be conducted.

Overall Summary of the Second Review: 2

1st Review: The R-PP Executive Summary notes that it will only provide detailed information on some sections.

- The meaning and intent of this sentence is not clear since the R-PP should be written so as to meet all component standards with adequate detail in it even if a country does not intend to seek funding from the FCPF for that component.

2nd Review: *The statement that R-PP only focusing on selected components was deleted and the text was modified to note that a more complete coverage was provided.*

1st Review: There has been limited participation of local communities and Indigenous Peoples Organizations in development of the R-PP.

- The R-PP notes that there is no substantial involvement of the private sector and local communities at present because i) they may not see any direct benefits in short run from the network participation; ii) the Network does not provide financial support for their participation (at least costs for travelling and accommodations), and iii) they might not have enough information on the REDD program. Their participation will be picked up during the implementation of the REDD+ program.

2nd Review: *The revised R-PP provides more detail on the lessons that will be learned from ongoing pilot programs.*

Overall Summary of the Second Review: 3

1st Review: The R-PP is missing the documentation of early estimates of costs and benefits, and the lack of information about the risk of domestic leakage.

- The UN-REDD Program in Vietnam is now recruiting some international and national experts to carry out a study on cost-benefit analysis of the REDD+ implementation in Lam Dong province. The ICRAF is also conducting a similar study in Da Nong province.

2nd Review: *The R-PP provides more examples of the costs and benefits that will be reported by ongoing REDD pilot programs.*

- The R-PP plans to study domestic leakage during the implementation of the 2nd phase of the UN-REDD Program. However, since R-PP is a readiness document and the coverage of REDD focuses more heavily on the natural forests but less on other land uses (plantations for instance), it is important that leakage be covered in the current R-PP and not be left open until the next phase.

2nd Review: *In recognition of this comment a separate TOR was set up to conduct a study of domestic leakage.*

Overall Summary

Component 1 a)	Standard has been met
Component 1 b)	Standard has been met
Component 2 a)	Standard has been met
Component 2 b)	Standard has been met
Component 2 c)	Standard has been met
Component 2 d)	Standard has been met
Component 3	Standard has been met
Component 4	Standard has been met
Component 5	Standard has been met
Component 6	Standard has been met